Case 2:22-cv-02029 ER Document 1 Filed 05/25/22 Page 1 of 14

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE C	OF THIS FO	ORM.)						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
Carl Richardson Jr.				Fort T. Wadhama Ina & Cusia Wisaman						
Cari Kichardson Ji.				Earl T. Wadhams, Inc. & Craig Wiseman						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Bergen County, N			J	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Ontario County, NY						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)							
(21021 1 11 0.0.1 2 11 11 11 0.1025)			NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Known)							
Alexander C. Hyder, Esq Morgan & Morgan										
2005 Market Stree	et, Suite 350									
Philadelphia, PA	19103									
		0 0 0 1 1	ш ст	I PIZENCIIID AE I	DDINCIDA	I DADTIEC .				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				TIZENSHIP OF I						
1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF							
	Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Cities					DEF □ 4		
Plaintill	(O.S. Government 1	(NOI a Farty)	Citize	en of this state	1 <u>A</u> _ 1	of Business In T		□ 4	□ 4	
						or Business in T	ins state			
2 U.S. Government	X 4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State	\mathbf{X} 2 \mathbf{D} 2	Incorporated and P	rincipal Place	5	X 5	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)		_		of Business In A	nother State	_	_	
				J	33	Foreign Nation		6	<u></u> 6	
			For	reign Country						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box On	ıly)		Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u> .			iS.			
CONTRACT	ТО	RTS	FC	PRFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	Y 62	5 Drug Related Seizure	422 App	eal 28 USC 158	375 False C	laims Act		
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	423 With	ndrawal	376 Qui Ta	m (31 USC	2	
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	69	0 Other	28 U	JSC 157	3729(a	ι))		
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/				LLECTUAL	400 State R	.eapportion	nment	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPE	RTY RIGHTS	410 Antitru			
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury			820 Cop	yrights	430 Banks		ng	
151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal			830 Pate		450 Commo			
Student Loans	340 Marine	Injury Product				nt - Abbreviated	460 Deport		nced and	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability			New 840 Trad	Drug Application	_	t Organizat		
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	гу 🗀	LABOR		end Trade Secrets	480 Consur	-		
of Veteran's Benefits	x 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		0 Fair Labor Standards		of 2016		SC 1681 or		
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending		Act	1100	01 2010	485 Teleph	one Consu	mer	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	72	0 Labor/Management	SOCIA	L SECURITY	Protect	tion Act		
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations		(1395ff)	490 Cable/S	Sat TV		
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act		k Lung (923)	850 Securit		odities/	
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	75	1 Family and Medical		(C/DIWW (405(g))	Exchai			
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice	L PRICONED PETITION	70	Leave Act		O Title XVI	890 Other S	-		
210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:		0 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 865 RSI	(405(g))	891 Agricul			
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee	⊢ ′9	1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	EEDED (I TAV CHITC	895 Freedo			
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		medic Security Act		AL TAX SUITS es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act	iii or iiiioii	mation	
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence				efendant)	896 Arbitra	tion		
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General				—Third Party	899 Admin		rocedure	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	261	USC 7609	Act/Re	view or Ap	ppeal of	
_	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Application	on			y Decision		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Othe	er46	5 Other Immigration			950 Constit		of	
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions			State St	iatutes		
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of								
		Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Rox Only)									
		Remanded from	74 Reins	stated or 5 Trans	ferred from	☐ 6 Multidistri	ct \square 8	Multidis	trict	
		Appellate Court	Reop		her District	Litigation -		Litigatio		
1 rocceding Sta	ic court	Appenate Court	Ксор	(speci		Transfer		Direct F		
	Cita the IIC Civil Ste	tute under which you ar	a filina (I	110	007			<u> </u>		
	28 U.S.C. §133		e ming (L	o noi cue jurisaiciionai si	iaiuies uniess ai	versuy).				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION										
	Brief description of ca Side-swipe mot	tor vehicle accide	nt in P	A						
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S In excess of the					Cl	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:				
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2			In excess of the						
		*	ju	risdictional threshold	30	ALL DEMIAND:	1 05	110		
VIII. RELATED CASI										
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE _			DOCKI	ET NUMBER				
					DOCKI					
DATE		SIGNATURA OF ATT	ORNEY C	OF RECORD						
05/25/2022		NOD								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		A TOTAL								
DECEIPT # AN	MOLINIT	ADDI VING IED		HIDGE		MAG IIID	CE			

Case 2:22-cv-02029 Fred Day Period 14 For the Eastern district of Pennsylvania

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:15	West Newell Avenue, Ruther	ford. NJ 07070					
Address of Defendant: 369 Bostwick Road, Phelps, NY 14532							
Address of Defendant:	Pennsylv	Pennsylvania					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Pennsylvania							
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:							
Case Number:		Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answere							
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No previously terminated action in this court?							
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?							
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?							
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corp case filed by the same individual?	Yes No V						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above. DATE: 05/25/2022 Is Is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. Attorney-at-Law/Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)							
CIVIL: (Place a $$ in one category only)							
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction	Cases:					
 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 	2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversity Cases						
(Please specify):(The effective of the effective o	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION ect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the ce of interest and costs:						
(Please specify):(The effective of the effective	ect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the ce of interest and costs:						
(Please specify): (The effective of the specific of the speci	ect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the ce of interest and costs:						

MORGAN & MORGAN

Alexander C. Hyder, Esquire #320939 2005 Market Street, Suite 350 Philadelphia, PA 19103 t. 267.780.2985 f. 267.780.2920 ahyder@forthepeople.com Our File No. 12083846 Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CARL RICHARDSON JR.

Plaintiff,

Case No.:

v.

EARL T. WADHAMS, INC. and CRAIG WISEMAN

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION – LAW JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT

Plaintiff, Carl Richardson Jr., by and through his undersigned counsel and the law firm Morgan & Morgan Philadelphia PLLC, assert a cause of action for negligence against the above captioned Defendant, and in support thereof avers as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Carl Richardson Jr. (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is an adult individual who resides at 15 West Newell Avenue, Rutherford, NJ 07070.
- 2. Defendant, Earl T. Wadhams, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant ETW"), is an organized and existing business entity having a principal place of business at 369 Bostwick Road, Phelps, NY 14532.

3. Defendant, Craig Wiseman (hereinafter "Defendant Wiseman"), is an adult individual who resides at 539 Fernwood Street, Emmaus, PA 18049.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332 because complete diversity of citizenship exists between Plaintiff and Defendant and the amount in controversy is greater than \$75,000.
 - 5. Plaintiff is a citizen of State of New Jersey.
 - 6. Defendant Wiseman is a citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 7. Defendant ETW maintains corporate citizenship in the State of New York by virtue of its incorporation and home office in that State.
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because at all relevant times, Defendants operated a motor vehicle in the Commonwealth in Pennsylvania.
- 9. Venue is proper in this forum pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(3) because Defendants are subject to this Court's personal jurisdiction.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 10. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Wiseman was the operator of a Mack truck bearing NY license plate number 61998PC owned by Defendant ETW (hereinafter the "subject tractor trailer").
- 11. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Wiseman was acting within the course and scope of his employment with Defendant ETW, in the furtherance of the business interests and objectives of Defendant ETW, and with the actual and/or implied authority of Defendant ETW.
- 12. At all times material hereto, Defendant ETW acted or failed to act through their agents, ostensible agents, servants, borrowed servants, workmen and/or employees all in the course

and scope of such relationship.

- 13. At all times material hereto, Defendant ETW established or should have established plans, specifications, and safety programs for the safe operation of motor vehicles, including commercial trucks.
- 14. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was the operator of a 2007 Dodge automobile bearing PA license plate number LRU1984.
- 15. At approximately 9:33 a.m. on October 8, 2021, Plaintiff was travelling eastbound on the Pennsylvania Turnpike on the Delaware River-Turnpike Toll Bridge in Pennsylvania.
- 16. At the same time and place, Defendant Wiseman, while in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant ETW, was travelling eastbound on the Pennsylvania Turnpike on the Delaware River-Turnpike Toll Bridge and merged into the left lane without the proper clearance, violently striking Plaintiff's vehicle and thereby causing Plaintiff's personal injuries as more fully hereinafter described.
- 17. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' negligence as described herein, Plaintiff suffered serious and permanent injuries and damages.
- 18. This crash was in no way caused or contributed to by the Plaintiff and was solely caused by the Defendants in the manner set forth herein.
- 19. The conduct of Defendants rises to the level of outrageous conduct in that Defendants willfully and recklessly ignored the known safety hazards associated with driving a commercial vehicle in an unsafe manner, which caused Plaintiff's serious and permanent injuries.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of the joint careless, reckless, negligent, grossly negligent, and other liability-producing operations of the subject tractor-trailer of Defendants herein, Plaintiff was caused to sustain serious and permanent injuries including, but not limited to,

lumbar spine herniations, cervical spine herniations, back pain, neck pain, and shoulder pain, some or all of which are permanent in nature and may last into the future.

- 21. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned injuries sustained due to the negligence of Defendants herein, Plaintiff was required to undergo significant medical treatment, which, as of the date of this filing, is continuing into the future.
- 22. As a further result of his injuries, Plaintiff has suffered severe physical pain, mental anguish, and embarrassment and humiliation, and may continue to suffer the same for an indefinite time into the future.
- 23. As a further result of his injuries, Plaintiff has or may be obliged to expend various sums of money for medical treatment, surgeries, injections, medicines, osteopathic services, diagnostic testing, nursing and psychological care, certain prosthesis, other medical equipment, and replacement services and which are not covered by, or which are in excess of those provided for in accordance with 75 Pa. C.S. Chapter 17 Section 1711 et seq.
- 24. As a further result of the accident aforementioned, Plaintiff has or may hereafter incur other financial expenses or sustain losses including but not limited to work loss and loss of earning capacity which do or may exceed amounts that Plaintiff may otherwise be entitled to recover.
- 25. The aforementioned conduct of the Defendants foreseeably caused a high degree of risk of serious physical injury to other motorists, including Plaintiff, and which caused Plaintiff's severe personal injuries as set forth herein.
- 26. Plaintiff's injuries and damages were caused solely by the acts of the Defendants jointly and/or severally and/or through their joint and individual agents, servants, workmen, and/or employees as hereinbefore and hereinafter set forth.

- 27. Plaintiff's injuries and damages were not caused by any act or omission on the part of Plaintiff or any other individuals and/or entity.
- 28. Plaintiff is eligible to seek full financial compensation for non-economic loss claimed and economic losses sustained in a motor vehicle accident as a consequence and result of the fault of others, the defendant herein, pursuant to applicable tort law.

COUNT I

CARL RICHARDSON JR. vs. EARL T. WADHAMS, INC.

- 29. The Plaintiff herein incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if same were set forth at length herein.
- 30. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant ETW conducted business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 31. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant ETW employed, supervised, and trained the operator of the subject tractor-trailer, Defendant Wiseman.
- 32. The injuries, damages and losses suffered by Plaintiff, as more fully set forth above, were caused by the negligence, carelessness, recklessness, and gross negligence of Defendant ETW jointly and severally, acting by and through their agents, servants, workers and/or employees, both generally and in the following particular respects:
 - a. failing to properly train their employees;
 - b. failing to properly maintain their trucks, including the subject tractor-trailer;
 - c. failing to properly service their trucks, including the subject tractor-trailer;
 - d. failing to inspect their trucks, including the subject tractor-trailer;
 - e. failing to properly maintain, service, and/or inspect the brakes and brake systems of their trucks, including the subject tractor-trailer;

- f. failing to ensure the subject tractor-trailer was operated by a properly trained and licensed driver;
- g. failing to properly monitor driver performance;
- h. promoting and encouraging drivers to rush at the expense of safety;
- i. violating commercial motor vehicle regulations; and
- j. failing to use due care under the circumstances;
- 33. The conduct of Defendant ETW caused and contributed to the aforesaid accident, and the injuries and damages suffered by Plaintiff described at length herein.
- 34. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness, reckless, and/or other liability-producing conduct of Defendant ETW Plaintiff sustained severe and permanent injuries and damages, as previously described.
- 35. Defendant ETW knew or should have known that failing to have appropriate safety policies regarding the use, operation, and/or maintenance of their tractor-trailers, including the subject tractor-trailer, posed a very high risk of serious bodily injury and/or death to those other vehicles, including Plaintiff.
- 36. The conduct of Defendant ETW rises to the level of outrageous conduct by willfully and recklessly ignoring the known safety hazards which caused the severe and permanent personal injuries of Plaintiff as set forth herein.
- 37. Defendant ETW acted with a willful, wanton, and reckless disregard for the safety of other vehicles and pedestrians, including Plaintiff.
- 38. Defendant ETW is jointly and severally liable for the injuries and damages of Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against Defendant, in an amount in excess of the jurisdiction threshold, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT II

CARL RICHARDSON JR. v. EARL T. WADHAMS, INC., as being vicariously liable for CRAIG WISEMAN

- 39. The Plaintiff herein incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if same were set forth at length herein.
- 40. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Wiseman was an employee, agent, ostensible agent, servant, and/or worker of Defendant ETW.
- 41. At the time of the accident, Defendant Wiseman was acting within the course and scope of his employment and/or agency with Defendant ETW.
- 42. Defendant ETW is vicariously liable as the employer and/or principal of Defendant Wiseman for the injuries, resulting losses and expenses of Plaintiff for which claim is made, under theories of vicarious liability and agency, and/or under the doctrine of *respondeat superior*.
- 43. Defendant ETW owed a duty to Plaintiff to ensure their employees were properly supervised, monitored, trained, and/or qualified.
- 44. Defendant ETW owed a duty to Plaintiff to establish and enforce safety policies and procedures addressing safety.
- 45. The conduct of Defendant ETW caused and contributed to the aforesaid accident, and the injuries and damages of Plaintiff described at length herein.

- 46. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness, gross negligence, and/or recklessness of Defendant ETW Plaintiff sustained serious and permanent injuries and damages, as previously discussed.
- 47. Defendant ETW is jointly and severally liable for the injuries and damages of Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against Defendant, in an amount in excess of the jurisdiction threshold, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT III

CARL RICHARDSON JR. v. CRAIG WISEMAN

- 48. The Plaintiff herein incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if same were set forth at length herein.
- 49. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Wiseman was an employee, agent, ostensible agent, servant, and/or worker of Defendant ETW.
- 50. At the time of the accident, Defendant Wiseman was acting within the course and scope of his employment and/or agency with Defendant ETW.
- 51. The negligence, carelessness, and recklessness of Defendant Wiseman consisted of, but is not limited to the following:
 - a. Failing to maintain proper and adequate control of the subject tractor-trailer so as to avoid crashing into the Plaintiff;
 - Merging lanes without the proper clearance while operating the subject truck;
 - c. Failing to pay proper attention while operating the subject tractor-trailer;

- Failing to notice slowing vehicles due to traffic while operating the subject truck;
- e. Operating the subject tractor-trailer in a negligent, careless, and reckless manner without due regard for the rights and safety of the Plaintiff;
- f. Failing to have the subject tractor-trailer under such control that it could be readily stopped, turned aside or the speed thereof slackened upon the appearance of danger;
- g. Failing to remain alert;
- h. Failing to operate the subject tractor-trailer in accordance with the FMCSR and the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania;
- Failing to make necessary and reasonable observations while operating the subject tractor-trailer;
- j. Failing to take evasive action and/or failing to take appropriate and timely evasive action in order to avoid striking Plaintiff;
- k. Failing to timely and properly apply his brakes;
- Violating both the written and unwritten policies, rules, guidelines, and regulations of ETW and/or the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania;
- m. Failing to maintain an assured clear distance;
- n. Failing to apprise himself of and/or abide by the FMCSR;
- Failing to apprise himself of and/or abide by the regulations and laws pertaining to the operation of commercial vehicles;
- p. Failing to properly inspect his truck in violation of the FMCSR;
- q. Consciously choosing to drive the subject tractor-trailer at a high rate of

speed for the location and circumstances; and

Acting with a conscious disregard for the rights and safety of the Plaintiff; r.

52. For the reasons set forth above, Defendant Wiseman's operation of the subject

tractor-trailer represented a foreseeable and unreasonable risk of danger to other vehicles, including

the Plaintiff.

53. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness and recklessness of

Defendant Wiseman, Plaintiff sustained severe and permanent injuries and damages, as previously

described.

54. The conduct of Defendant Wiseman rises to the level of outrageous conduct in that it

willfully and recklessly ignored the known safety hazards which caused Plaintiff's harm and

damages as set forth herein.

55. Defendant Wiseman acted with a willful, wanton, and reckless disregard for the

safety of other vehicles, including the Plaintiff.

56. This and other misconduct of Defendant Wiseman constituted outrageous, willful

and/or wanton misconduct, and manifested a reckless indifference to the rights of others.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against Defendant, in

an amount in excess of the jurisdiction threshold, plus all costs and other relief this court deems

necessary.

MORGAN & MORGAN

Alexander C. Hyder, Esquire

Trial Counsel for Plaintiff

DATE: 05/25/2022

MORGAN & MORGAN

Our File No. 12083846

Alexander C. Hyder, Esquire #320939 2005 Market Street, Suite 350 Philadelphia, PA 19103 t. 267.780.2985 f. 267.780.2920 ahyder@forthepeople.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CARL RICHARDSON JR.

Plaintiff,

Case No.:

v.

EARL T. WADHAMS, INC. and CRAIG WISEMAN

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION – LAW JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

NOTICE OF PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE

PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS AND REQUESTS THAT DEFENDANT(S) TAKE NECESSARY ACTION TO ENSURE THE PRESERVATION OF ALL DOCUMENTS, COMMUNICATIONS, WHETHER ELECTRONIC OR OTHERWISE, ITEMS AND THINGS IN THE POSSESSION OR CONTROL OF ANY PARTY TO THIS ACTION, OR ANY ENTITY OVER WHICH ANY PARTY TO THIS ACTION HAS CONTROL, OR FROM WHOM ANY PARTY TO THIS ACTION HAS ACCESS TO, ANY DOCUMENTS, ITEMS, OR THINGS WHICH MAY IN ANY MANNER BE RELEVANT TO OR RELATE TO THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THE CAUSES OF ACTION AND/OR THE ALLEGATIONS CONTAINED IN THIS COMPLAINT.

MORGAN & MORGAN

ALEXANDER C. HYDER, ESQUIRE

Trial Counsel for Plaintiff

Date: 05/25/2022

VERIFICATION

I, CARL RICHARDSON JR., hereby state that I am the Plaintiff in the within action and

that the facts set forth in this CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT are true and correct to the best of my

knowledge, information, and belief. To the extent that the language contained in the responses is

that of counsel, Plaintiff has relied upon counsel in executing this verification.

I understand that the statements in this Verification are made subject to the penalties of the

court relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Colape

DATE: 05/25/2022